



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,969	09/22/2003	Katsumi Abe	q75817	4962
23373 7590 06/10/2009				
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC				
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.				
SUITE 800				
WASHINGTON, DC 20037				
EXAMINER				
PHAM, TAMMY T				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2629				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
06/10/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/664,969

Applicant(s)

ABE, KATSUMI

Examiner

TAMMY PHAM

Art Unit

2629

Period for Reply -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 March 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-26, 29-36 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-15 and 22-26 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7, 16-21 and 29-36 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Claims 27-28 have been cancelled. Claim 36 have been added. Claims 8-15, 22-26 have been withdrawn. Claims 1-7, 16-21, 29-36 are considered below.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 3 March 2009 have been fully considered but they are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 4, 19 are recites the limitation and refers to the terms "P-type" and "N-type." There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It appears that claim 4 should depend from claim 3, not from claim 2. Appropriate correction is needed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-4, 6-7, 16-19, 21, 29-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yanagi et al. (U.S. Patent No.: 7,002,541 B2) in view of Okajima (U.S. Patent No.: 5,793,680).

5. **In regards to independent claims 1, 33-34**, Yanagi teaches of a common drive circuit (Fig. 1, items 4-6) for a display (Fig. 1, item 12), the common drive circuit (Fig. 1, items 4-6) comprising:

6. a first voltage supply (Fig. 1, item Vcom2) and a second voltage supply (Fig. 1, item Vcom1) which respectively supply a high level voltage signal (Fig. 2, item Vcom2) and a low level voltage signal (Fig. 2, item Vcom1) to a common electrode (Fig. 1, item Vcom);

7. at least one signal line (Fig. 1, item Vref); and

8. at least one capacitance load (Fig. 1, item 13) connected to respective terminals of the switch (Fig. 1, item 5c) not connected to the first and second voltage supplies (Fig. 1, items Vcom1, Vcom2),

9. wherein a high level of a signal passing through the at least one signal line (Fig. 1, item Vref1) is substantially equal to the high level voltage signal supplied by the first voltage supply (Fig. 1, item Vcom2) and a low level of the signal passing through the signal line (Fig. 1, item

Vref2) is substantially equal than the low level voltage signal supplied by the second voltage supply (Fig. 1, item Vcom1).

10. Yanagi fails to teach of at least one first transistor including either a drain or a source terminal connected to the first supply;
11. at least one second transistor including either a drain or source terminal connected to the second supply;
12. at least one signal line connected to each gate terminal of the first and second transistors;
and
13. at least one load connected to respective terminals of the first and the second transistors,
14. wherein a high level of a signal passing through the at least one signal line is higher than the high level voltage signal supplied by the first voltage supply and a low level of the signal passing through the signal line is lower than the low level voltage signal supplied by the second voltage supply.
15. Applicant has not disclosed any specific advantage or criticality to having a high level of a signal passing through the at least one signal line is higher than the high level voltage signal supplied by the first voltage supply and a low level of the signal passing through the signal line is lower than the low level voltage signal supplied by the second voltage supply. As such, the high and low values are an obvious matter of design choice.

16. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the high (or low) level of the signal line be either substantially equal to the first and second voltage supply as taught by Yanagi or greater and lower than the first and second voltage supplies as claimed, since either of the values would be sufficient in achieving the predictable result of supplying the adequate value to the common electrode. And further, even Applicant teaches that either value may be supplemented for the other (sections [0019, 0069]).

17. Okajima teaches of at least one first transistor (Fig. 14, items 62, 66) including either a drain or a source terminal connected to the first supply (Fig. 14, item 15B);

18. at least one second transistor (Fig. 14, item 65, 69) including either a drain or source terminal connected to the second supply (Fig. 14, item 15B);

19. at least one signal line (Fig. 14, item L1) connected to each gate terminal of the first and second transistors (Fig. 14, items 62, 66 and 65 and 69); and

20. at least one load (Fig. 14, item /CLKO) connected to respective terminals of the first and the second transistors (Fig. 14, items 62, 66 and 65, 69).

21. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the switch of Yanagi with the first and second transistors as taught by Okajima. This combination would allow for a circuit with high speed signal frequency (Okajima, column 1, lines 8-10).

22. **In regards to independent claim 17**, in addition to the claim limitations of claim 1 above, Yanagi further teaches of a display (Fig. 1) comprising:
23. a substrate (Fig. 1):
24. a display portion (Fig. 1, item 13) integrated on the substrate; and
25. a gate driver circuit (Fig. 1, item 2) which controls switching of pixels (Fig. 1, item 13) of each line in a display portion (Fig. 1, item 13);
26. a common drive circuit (Fig. 1, items 4-6) for the display portion (Fig. 1, item 13) which simultaneously driving capacitance loads in the display portion (Fig. 1, item 13).
27. **In regards to claims 2, 16**, Yanagi teaches that at least the common drive circuit (Fig. 1, items 4-6), a display portion (Fig. 1, item 13) and a gate driver circuit (Fig. 1, item 2) for controlling switching of pixels of each line in the display portion (Fig. 1, item 13) are mounted on a substrate, and
28. wherein the common drive circuit (Fig. 1, items 4-5, Vcom) is disposed on a position opposite to the gate driver circuit (Fig. 1, item 2) and the display portion therebetween (Fig. 1, item 13).
29. **In regards to claims 3, 18**, Okajima teaches that at least one first transistor (Fig. 14, items 62, 66) comprises P-type transistor (Fig. 14, item 62) and the at least one second transistors (Fig. 14, items 65, 69) comprises N-type transistor (Fig. 14, item 69), and
30. wherein the gate terminals of the first (Fig. 14, items 62, 66) and second transistors (Fig. 14, item 62) are connected to common signal lines (Fig. 14, item /CLKO).

31. **In regards to claims 4, 19,** Okajima teaches that the P-type transistors (Fig. 14, item 62) and N-type transistors (Fig. 14, item 66) are connected in parallel to be the first transistor (Fig. 14, items 62, 66), and N-type transistors (Fig. 14, item 69) and P-type transistors (Fig. 14, item 65) are connected in parallel to be the second transistor (Fig. 14, item 65, 69),

32. wherein respective gates of the P-type transistors of the first transistor (Fig. 14, item 62) and the N-type transistor of the second transistors (Fig. 14, item 69) are connected to one the signal line (Fig. 14, item L1), and respective gates of the N-type transistors of the first transistor (Fig. 14, item 66) and the P-type transistors of the second transistor (Fig. 14, item 65) are connected to an inversion signal line of one the signal line (Fig. 14, item L2).

33. **In regards to claims 6, 21,** Yanagi as modified by Okajima fails to teaches that the first and second transistors are comprised of thin-film transistors.

34. Examiner takes official notice that it is well known in the art to use thin-film transistors.

35. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use thin-film transistors in the drive circuit of Yanagi as modified by Okajima, since the use of thin-film transistors enables a simple and cost efficient method to implement a switching method.

36. **In regards to claim 7,** Yanagi teaches that the display portion comprises a liquid crystal display (Fig. 1).

37. **In regards to claims 29-32**, Yanagi as modified by Okajima teaches of a level shift circuit (Yanagi, Fig. 1, items 5a-b or Okajima, Fig. 14, item 60) connected to the one signal line directly (Yanagi, Fig. 1, item Vref1) {claim 29}; and

38. the inversion signal line directly (Okajima, Fig. 14, item 56) {claims 30-32}.

39. **In regards to claim 35**, Yanagi as modified by Okajima teaches that the at least one capacitance (Yanagi, Fig. 1, item 13) is directly connected to respective terminals of the first and second transistors (Okajima, Fig. 14, item 62, 66 and 65, 69).

40. **In regards to claim 36**, Yanagi teaches that of a common voltage generating circuit (Fig. 1, items 4-6) formed on the substrate adjacent to the common drive circuit (Fig. 1, items 4-6).

41. Claims 5, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yanagi et al. (U.S. Patent No.: 7,002,541 B2) in view of Okajima (U.S. Patent No.: 5,793,680) and Park et al. (U.S. Patent No.: 7,133,034 B2).

42. **In regards to claims 5, 20**, Yanagi and Okajima fails to teach that a high level voltage of each signal of the signal line is a high-level line voltage of the gate driver and

43. wherein a low-level voltage of each signal of the signal line is a low-level line voltage of the gate driver (Fig. 1).

44. Park teaches that a high level voltage of each signal of the signal line is a high-level line voltage of the gate driver and

Art Unit: 2629

45. wherein a low-level voltage of each signal of the signal line is a low-level line voltage of the gate driver (Fig. 1).

46. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the high and low signal of the signal line is the high and low signal of the gate line as taught by Park with the display of Yanagi and the transistors of Okajima. This combination would allow for the gate to open so that the common voltage may be applied (Park, Fig. 1).

Conclusion

47. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tammy Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-7773. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:30 (Mon-Fri).
48. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sumati Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-3638. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
49. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TP
2 June 2009

Tammy Pham
/Tammy Pham/
Examiner, Art Unit 2629

/Sumati Lefkowitz/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629